UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,195	10/05/2005	Osamu Watanabe	3712174-00490	7320
29175 K&L Gates LLI	7590 03/31/201 P	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 1133		INGVOLDSTAD, BENNETT		
CHICAGO, IL	00090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2427	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

Office Action Summany		Applica	ation No. Applicant(s)					
		10/550,	195	WATANABE ET	WATANABE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
			Ingvoldstad	2427				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. by period will apply and by statute, cause the apply and	THIS COMMUNICATION PROPERTY OF THE C	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 15 January 20	10					
•	_	This action is						
′=	<i>'-</i>			prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,					
· · ·		dication						
•	Claim(s) <u>22-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	illidiawii iloili c	onsideration.					
	• • ———							
·	Claim(s) 22-38 is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/an alastian	ua avviua na a nt					
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b	o) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Offic	ce Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			🗖 .					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)AQ)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9 4 0)		Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 15 January 2010 have been fully considered, but they are most in view of the new interpretation of the Sciammarella '848 reference to meet the amended claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 30, 35, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 30 sets forth a "computer readable storage medium storing instructions...." However, the specification as originally filed does not explicitly define the computer readable storage medium; rather, the specification only gives examples of a storage medium (e.g., "a CD, an FD, or an MO," see pg. 12, second full paragraph). The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is obliged to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification during proceedings before the USPTO. See In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989) (during patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow). The broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim drawn to a computer readable storage media (also called machine readable medium and other such variations) typically covers forms of non-transitory tangible media and transitory propagating signals per se in view of the

ordinary and customary meaning of computer readable media, particularly when the specification is absent an explicit definition or is silent. See MPEP 2111.01. When the broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim covers a signal per se, the claim must be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as covering non-statutory subject matter. See In re Nuijten, 500 F.3d 1346, 1356-57 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (transitory embodiments are not directed to statutory subject matter) and Interim Examination Instructions for Evaluating Subject Matter Eligibility Under 35 U.S.C. § 101, Aug. 24, 2009; p. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-30, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sciammarella '848 (US 2002/0033848) in view of Hayashi (US 2002/0054157).

Claim 22: Sciammarella discloses a display processing apparatus comprising a display device, an input device, a processor, and a memory (Fig. 5) for performing a process for displaying content information that is classified into genres (displaying information about data files in audio or image genre, Abstract), the display processing apparatus comprising:

configuring content cards recording content information in association with content items (thumbnail cards record content information 26 comprising file name, size, and date information, Fig. 4); and

Page 4

displaying a card group including a plurality of said content cards configured in associated with content items as a card group that indicates only the presence of content with the content information being hidden (the content information for each thumbnail is only visible for the selected thumbnail 25', fig. 4), and

displaying a content card selected by a user-operable selection indicator in a portion adjacent to the card group with the content information being displayed (the selected thumbnail 25' with content information 26, fig. 4); and

wherein the content information is continuously displayed according to a user selection operation (focus outline continuously displays the selected thumbnail, para. 0050, along with content information, fig. 4); and

moving the content cards along a content card movement curve (a helix, fig.4), said content curve being defined by control points (see points in X-Y plane at fig. 22). wherein in response to movement of the selection indicator;

each of said second plurality of control points are moved along with the movement of the user-operable selection indicator (the radius reduces in response to the selection indicator, thus changing the location of the control points on the X-Y plane, para. 0081; fig. 22).

In the above-described embodiment of Sciammarella, there is only a single fixed control point (see x_cent, y_cent at para. 0107) rather than a plurality of fixed control

points. However, Sciammarella contemplates other shapes using a plurality of control points (see paras. 0103-0105, referring to fixed points x_circle1, y_circle1 and x_circle2, y_circle2). Thus the use of a plurality of fixed control points was known and would have been obvious with the above-described embodiment of Sciamarella in order to display various shapes.

Further in a separate embodiment, Sciammarella discloses:

displaying genres corresponding to displayed content information; and displaying the genre of the selected content information (genre bar along bottom edge of the screen, fig. 31, "Images" near the top right corner to indicate the selected genre, fig. 31).

It would have been obvious to have combined the display embodiments for the purpose of allowing the user to more easily select the genre of content for display and to see the currently displayed genre while using the curve display methods.

Sciammarella displays a plurality of cards, but does not teach that all of the cards are displayed simultaneously. Hayashi teaches a similar user interface for displaying cards wherein all of the cards may be displayed simultaneously (see Figs. 7-9 and para. 0164).

It is obvious to combine known elements according to known methods to yield predictable results. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have combined the user interface of Sciammarella with the small number of data cards of Hayashi according to the described methods of displaying the cards and for the predictable result of displaying all of the cards on the screen at the same time.

Claim 23, depending on claim 22: Sciammarella further discloses determining the manner in which each of the content cards is displayed depending on the relative position of the selection indicator in the card group (the thumbnails are scaled based on their placement within the sequence of thumbnails in relation to the selected thumbnail, fig. 4, para. 0057).

Claim 24, depending on claim 22: Sciammarella further discloses:

- a) a content card at a position close to the selection indicator is set to an angle at which the content information is displayed (a content card 25' within, i.e. "close to", the selection indicator 24 displays content information 26, fig. 4); and
- b) a content card at a position far from the selection indicator is set to an angle at which the content information is hidden (other cards not within the selection indicator do not display content information 26, fig. 4).

Claim 25, depending on claim 22: Sciammarella further discloses displaying a content item selected by the selection indicator at a position on the parametric curve apart from the card group with the content information being displayed (see fig. 19; para. 0088; displaying the card group "closer" to the user than the card group by enlarging it).

Claim 26: Sciammarella teaches a display processing method corresponding to the apparatus of claim 22, the instant claim being met as discussed above.

Claim 27–29 correspond to claims 23–25 respectively and are met as such.

Claim 30: Sciammarella teaches a computer readable medium encoding a computer program for implementing the above methods.

Claim 37. Sciammarella further teaches wherein said first plurality of control points includes a first and second control point (see paras. 0103-0105, referring to fixed points x_circle1, y_circle1 and x_circle2, y_circle2).

Claim 38. Sciammarella further teaches wherein the selection indicator is displayed between the first and second control point (see Fig. 21, noting that the selection indicator is displayed between the centers of the two circles as illustrated at Fig. 19).

<u>Claims 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u>

<u>over Sciammarella '848 (US 2002/0033848) in view of Hayashi (US 2002/0054157)</u>

and Sciammarella '940 (US 6281940).

Claims 31, 33, and 35: Sciammarella '848 does not further disclose wherein the genre is based on an electronic program guide.

Sciammarella '940 discloses a graphical user interface that is similar to the '848 reference's GUI. The '848 GUI is used to preview image and audio files, '848 Abstract, whereas the '940 GUI is used to preview broadcast media programs, '940 Abstract. The '940 GUI is an electronic program guide, Figs. 4 and 7a-c, by virtue of comprising selectable broadcast media channels.

It would have been obvious to have modified the '848 reference's GUI to have been an EPG, the genres being selectable via the EPG and "based on" an EPG, for the purpose of previewing and displaying additional types of media such as broadcast media for selection, '940 col. 1, Ils. 17-19.

Claims 32, 34, and 36: Sciammarella '848 does not further disclose wherein the genres include news and drama.

Sciammarella '940 discloses that genres such as movies or news, col. 6, lls. 19-28, may include subgenres such as drama, col. 2, lls. 27-32.

Therefore it would have been obvious to modify the genres of Sciammarella '848 (e.g. movies, '848 fig. 31) to comprise the "news" genre and subgenres such as drama, for the purpose of further categorizing broadcast programs, allowing easier selection of a desired type of program.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,195 Page 9

Art Unit: 2427

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bennett Ingvoldstad whose telephone number is (571) 270-3431. The examiner can normally be reached on M–F 9–5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on (571) 272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bennett Ingvoldstad/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427

/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427